



THEORETICAL STUDIES OF GROUP IVA AND GROUP IVB CHEMISTRY

**Mark Gordon
IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY**

**08/27/2014
Final Report**

DISTRIBUTION A: Distribution approved for public release.

**Air Force Research Laboratory
AF Office Of Scientific Research (AFOSR)/ RTE
Arlington, Virginia 22203
Air Force Materiel Command**

| | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | | | | | Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 | |
| <p>The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Service Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.</p> <p>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.</p> | | | | | | |
| 1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) | | 2. REPORT TYPE | | | 3. DATES COVERED (From - To) | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE | | | | 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 5b. GRANT NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER | | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) | | | | 5d. PROJECT NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 5e. TASK NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER | | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) | | | | | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER | |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) | | | | | 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) | |
| | | | | | 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) | |
| 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT | | | | | | |
| 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | | | | | |
| 14. ABSTRACT | | | | | | |
| 15. SUBJECT TERMS | | | | | | |
| 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: | | | 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT | 18. NUMBER OF PAGES | 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON | |
| a. REPORT | b. ABSTRACT | c. THIS PAGE | | | 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) | |

Several new developments in the effective fragment potential (EFP) and the fragment molecular orbital (FMO) methods have been accomplished. A new EFP charge transfer (CT) interaction energy was derived and implemented in GAMESS. The new CT approach, based on quasi-atomic molecular bond orbitals, cuts the time required for this term by a factor of two, with little loss in accuracy. The analytic gradient was derived and implemented as well, so one can optimize geometries and determine reaction paths. The $R(-7)$ dispersion terms was also derived and coded. While most workers ignore the odd power dispersion terms, these terms can be very important in anisotropic situations, such as highly viscous liquids and on solid surfaces. We have also developed an integrated FMO-EFP method called the effective fragment molecular orbital (EFMO) method. We have shown that the EFMO method is both faster and more accurate than FMO. Fully analytic EFMO energy gradients have been partly completed. The analytic gradients will be completed in the next year. Once these gradients are completed, one can do molecular dynamics simulation with the essentially ab initio EFMO method. In collaboration with the Rappe group, we used the EFP and second order perturbation theory (MP2) to help explain the experimental results of the Fayer group on phenol migration dynamics. An exhaustive computational study of hydrazine decomposition was performed using both MP2 and coupled cluster methods. This study, which was prompted by the use of hydrazine as a high energy fuel, completely mapped out the competing hydrazine decomposition pathways. This work was a true tour de force. An invited review on fragmentation methods is in press in the very prestigious journal *Accounts of Chemical Research*. Also in press is a report on the solvated electron. This is a very important work, because it demonstrates that local orbital methods, such as the cluster in molecule (CIM) method can be very effective in studying the solvated electron problem. The solvated electron is often thought of as a highly delocalized problem, but our work demonstrates that this is not true.

A thorough study of the isomers of the water hexamer and the Ar hexamer was completed. This study compared the predictions of coupled cluster theory, CCSD(T), MP2, EFP, Hartree-Fock (HF) and density functional theory (DFT) with several density functionals. Ad hoc dispersion corrections (-D) were added to both the HF and DFT calculations. It was shown that the EFP method is in best agreement with the high-level benchmark CCSD(T) calculations, even better than the MP2 theory. Indeed, MP2 predictions get worse when the basis set is improved. HF and DFT predictions of the water hexamer binding energies are generally very poor. When the -D correction is included, both HF-D and DFT-D are improved. The performance of HF-D is especially encouraging since one can think of HF-D as an inexpensive alternative to MP2.

1. S.R. Pruitt, C. Steinmann, J.H. Jensen, and M.S. Gordon, "A Fully Integrated Fragment Molecular Orbital/Effective Fragment Potential Method", *J. Chem. Theory Comp.*, 9, 2235 (2013)
2. M.W. Schmidt and M.S. Gordon, "The Decomposition of Hydrazine in the Gas Phase and over an Iridium Catalyst", *Z. Phys. Chem.*, 227, 1301 (2013)
3. L. Kocia, S. Young, Y. Kholod, M. Gordon, M. Fayer, and A. Rappe, "Theoretical Examination of Picosecond Phenol Migration Dynamics in Phenylacetylene Solution", *Chem. Phys.*, 422, 175 (2013)
4. S.R. Pruitt, S.S. Leang, P. Xu, D.G. Fedorov and M.S. Gordon, "Hexamers and Whichamers: Which Hex do you Choose?", *Computational and Theoretical Chemistry*, 1021, 70 (2013)
5. P. Xu and M.S. Gordon, "Charge Transfer Interaction using Quasiatomic Minimal-basis Orbitals in the Effective Fragment Potential Method", *J. Chem. Phys.*, 139, 194104 (2013)
6. Peng Xu, Federico Zahariev, and Mark S. Gordon, "The R-7 dispersion interaction in the general effective fragment potential method", *JCTC*, in press
7. S.R. Pruitt, C. Bertoni, K. Brorsen, and M.S. Gordon, "Efficient and Accurate Fragmentation Methods", *Acc. Chem. Research* (INVITED), in press
8. P. Xu and M.S. Gordon, "Renormalized Coupled Cluster Approaches in the Cluster-in-Molecule framework: Predicting vertical electron binding energies of the anionic water clusters", *J. Phys. Chem.*, in press.